

SFP 1 4 2004

PTO/SB/61 (11-03) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

der the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Uttice ut petitions Docket Number (Optional)

PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED **UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(a)**

12;105-1

First Named Inventor: Mo	rris	F.	Dilmore	еt	a1	Art Unit:	1742
--------------------------	------	----	---------	----	----	-----------	------

Application Number: 10/039,811

Examiner:

Daniel J. Jenkins

January 8, 2002 Filed:

Title: METAL CONSOLIDATION PROCESS APPLICABLE TO FUNCTIONALLY GRADIENT MATERIAL (FGM) COMPOSITIONS OF TANTALUM AND OTHER MATERIALS.

Attention: Office of Petitions Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

> NOTE: If information or assistance is needed in completing this form, please contact Petitions Information at (703) 305-9382.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice or action by the United Sates Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the Office notice or action plus any extensions of time actually obtained.

APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION.

NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following items:

Petition fee: (1)

(2)Reply and/or issue fee.

Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee-required for all utility and plant applications filed (3)before June 8, 1995, and for all design applications; and

Adequate showing of the cause of unavoidable delay.

1.	Petition	fee
----	----------	-----

Small entity – fee \$ See 37 CFR 1.27.	(37 CFR 1.17(I)).	Applicant claim	s small entity status

Other than small entity - fee \$ 110. _ (37 CFR 1.17(I)).

2. Reply and/or fee

Α.	The reply and/or fee to the above-noted Office action in the to	
	charge to Account No.08-0118	(identify the type of reply):
	has been filed previously on	- ·.

is enclosed herewith.

The issue fee of \$1.600.

has been filed previously on ___July 17.

is enclosed herewith.

Adjustment date: 11/02/2004 AKELLEY 07/18/2003 MGEBRIM2 00000056 10039811 01 FC:1501

[Page 1 of 3] This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.137(a). The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 8 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

11/02/2004 AKELLEY 00000010 080118

01 FC:1501

30.00 DA

1300.00 OP

PTO/SB/61 (11-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED **UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(a)**

3.	Terminal disc	aimer with disclaimer fee	
	X	Since this utility/plant application	on was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.
		A terminal disclaimer (and disc \$for other than a s (see PTO/SB/63).	claimer fee (37 CFR 1.20(d)) of \$ for a small entity or mall entity) disclaiming the required period of time is enclosed herewith
4.	An adequate for the reply u	showing of the cause of the dela ntil the filing of a grantable petiti	ay, and that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date ion under 37 CFR 1.137(a) was unavoidable, is enclosed.
	WARNIN be inclu	IG: Information on this form n ded on this form. Provide cred	nay become public. Credit card information should not dit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.
	9-	3-64 Date	Signature Signature
		584-2707 none Number	William W. Haefliger Typed or printed name
	17,12		201 S. Lake Ave., Suite 512
		Number, if applicable	Address
			Pasadena, California 91101
			Address
Er	closure 🔀	Fee Payment	
		Reply	
		Terminal Disclaimer Form	
		Additional sheets containing st	atements establishing unavoidable delay
Г		CERTIFICATE OF MA	AILING OR TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8(a))
	☑ depos class	y that this correspondence is be sited with the United States Post	
		nitted by facsimile on the date s 872-9306.	hown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at
	<u>Sept.</u>	3 . 2004 Date	Signature
			William W. Haefliger
			Typed or printed name of person signing certificate

PTO/SB/61 (11-03) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(a)

NOTE:	The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must be signed by all applicants or	by a	ny othei
	party who is presenting statements concerning the cause of delay.		

September 3, 2004

Date

17,120

William W. Haefliger

Registration Number, if applicable

Typed or printed name

Signature

(In the space provided below, please explain <u>in detail</u> the reasons for the delay in filing a proper reply.)

STATEMENT OF ADEQUATE SHOWING OF THE CAUSE OF UNAVOIDABLE DELAY

- 1) Applicant at all times believed that the acknowledged receipt by the PTO of Part B Fee(s) Transmittal on July 17, 2003, and the check for \$1,600, were sufficient, and that the New Notice of Allowance, and associated documents--mailed on September 23, 2003 were redundant, since the \$1,600 had already been paid.
- 2) Failure to return the Notice under the circumstances was inadvertent.
- 3) This statement is made and submitted shortly after receipt of the Decision on Petition, on August 20, 2004.

(Please attach additional sheets if additional space is needed.)